	Case 3:06-cv-05543-RBL Document 109	Filed 01/30/08 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
10	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA	
11		
12	DEREK E. GRONQUIST,	
13	Plaintiff,	Case No. C06-5543 RBL/KLS
14	V.	ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME
15	DANIEL WILLIAMS, et al.,	
16	Defendants.	
17		
18	On December 20, 2007, the Court denied Plaintiff's motion for extension of time to respond	
19	to Defendants' summary judgment motion. (Dkt. # 107). Plaintiff now files an "Objection to	
20	Magistrate's Ruling on Motions." (Dkt. # 108). In support of his objections to the Court's order,	
21	Plaintiff points to the record, which he states "clearly demonstrates the needs for discovery." <i>Id.</i>	
22	I. DISCUSSION	
23	Motions for reconsideration are disfavored and will ordinarily be denied in the "absence of a	
24	showing of manifest error in the prior ruling or a showing of new facts or legal authority which	
25	could not have been brought to the court's attention earlier with reasonable diligence." Local Rule	
26	CR 7(h)(1).	
27	The Court's denial for Plaintiff's request for additional time was based on evidence before it	
28	ORDER - 1	
	1	

Case 3:06-cv-05543-RBL Document 109 Filed 01/30/08 Page 2 of 2

indicating that Plaintiff's claim of illness and injury preventing him from timely responding to Defendants' summary judgment were not true. (Dkt. #107). The Court also found that Plaintiff provided no compelling reason to allow the reopening of discovery to allow Plaintiff to depose almost all of the Defendants on the eve of summary judgment when Plaintiff had over six months to conduct discovery and chose not to do so.

The Court finds that Plaintiff has not presented any new facts or legal authority in his motion for reconsideration that could not have been presented to the Court's attention earlier with reasonable diligence suggesting that reconsideration is appropriate.

ACCORDINGLY, it is **ORDERED**:

- (1) Plaintiff's motion for reconsideration (Dkt. # 108) is **DENIED**;
- (2) The Clerk is directed to send copies of this Order to Plaintiff, counsel for Defendants, and to the Honorable Ronald B. Leighton.

DATED this 30th day of January, 2008.

Karen L. Strombom

United States Magistrate Judge

ORDER - 2